

Senators Present

Leonor Aguilera
Nena Baldizon-Rios
Cari Cannon
Phillip Crabill
Shawn Cummins
Steve Deeley
Leah Freidenrich
Alicia Frost
Scott Howell
Eric Hovanitz
Scott James
Melinda Lorton
Evangeline Matthews
Eden Quimzon
Stephen Reed
Andrew Salcido
Mike Taylor
Christine Umali Kopp

Melinda Womack

Absent

Denise Bailey

Senate Executive Board

President Corinna Evett
Vice President Mary Mettler
CIC Chair Joyce Wagner
Sec/Treas. Michael DeCarbo

ASG Representative

Tamara Nabulsi

Guests

Joseph Alonzo
Jennifer Puchana
Aaron Voelcker

I Welcome

II Approval of Minutes

October 20, 2015 (Womack/Hovanitz) approved without dissent.

III Public Comments

- 1 Professor Womack addressed some of the concerns raised about armed security officers:
 - A As the response time for OCPD is 7 minutes, the armed officers can respond more quickly.
 - B All security officers undergo mental health certification
 - C There will be tri-annual recertification.
- 2 International Student Jennifer Puchana expressed that the International Students payment plan has been suspended and this will negatively affect the students.

IV AS Executive Board Reports

A President

- 1 Faculty Hiring:

It was announced by HR at District Council that the SCC FON will likely be 12
President Weispfenning will soon announce the two positions to be added to the prior 10.

The EOPS counselor position may be funded categorically.

The Adult Education Block Grant funded positions may teach overload in the classroom but
may not use teaching as part of primary load.

Further, as the AEBG will be ongoing funds, the Senate will advocate that these positions be
tenure-track.

The Board is looking at diversity in the RSCCD hiring practices, and HR has determined that hiring practices are as diverse if not more so than neighboring districts.

The end results of those hired are representative of those that enter the hiring pools.

- 2 The ASCCC Educational Policies Committee meeting was held at SCC on Friday, October 30, 2015.

RSCCD is lauded as an exemplar by the ASCCC for best practices in AR and PB revisions. Vice Chancellor John Didion and President Evett will be presenting on this topic at the upcoming ASCCC Fall Plenary.

- 3 November 19, 1:30 pm. please attend 2015 Faculty Excellence Award Presenter, Professor DeCarbo for “The Words I Say About Myself Create the Self I Will Encounter”

B Vice President

No report

C Secretary/Treasurer

No report

D CIC

- 1 There are two meetings remaining for this year, as a result, all new curriculum must be at the technical committee approval level by November 4, 2015

V ASG Report – Senator at Large Tamara Nabulsi

- 1 The Town Halls held October 26 and 27 were very successful, survey results will be forthcoming.
- 2 The ASG grant program will soon be deployed.
- 3 ASG will use \$18,000 from the budget to renovate T-107 and the cafeteria.

VI Action

First Reading:

None

Second Reading:

A Resolution F2015.6: *Support of Exploring a Potential Dual Enrollment Agreement between Santiago Canyon College and Unity Middle College High School (Crabill/Bailey)*

Discussion

Passed without dissent

B Resolution F2015.7: *Adoption of the 2015-2016 Student Equity Plan (Quimzon/Womack)*

Discussion

Director Alonzo clarified some of the budgetary items.

Dean Voelcker explained the funding formula that determines how the money from the state is split between SCC and SAC;

The three factors are FTES, High Need Students (Pell Grants), and poverty level.

The formula will be reviewed annually.

SAC is in agreement with the formula.

The Elucian Portal purchase was approved by the State.

Revisions to the new budget plan include sharing costs, reducing staffing and spreading costs of

the Instructional Design Center over three years.
President Evett read the responsibilities of the Professional Development Committee to indicate that the Committee is already situated and charged to manage equity professional development funds.
Passed without dissent (Salcido abstains)

VII Summary Reports Discussion

None

VIII Discussion Items

A Discussion of Area D Meeting & the ASCCC Fall 2015 Resolutions Packet & Related Materials

- 1 2.02 Endorse the CCCCCO Task Force on Accreditation Report
The Faculty is in absolute support
- 2 9.01 Creation of Local Online Education rubrics
The Faculty is in support of the previous resolution of exploring the possibility rather than establishing criteria.
This has union implications.
- 3 9.02 Defining the Parameters of the CCC BA degree.
The Senate rejects the resolution as not rigorous enough.
- 4 9.03 BA level GE at CCC
The Senate rejects the resolution as not rigorous enough.
- 5 9.04 Limitations on Enrollment and Admission Criteria for BA Programs
The Senate is in favor
- 6 9.05 Upper Division GE curriculum BA Pilot Program
The Senate is in favor
- 7 9.06 Support for the BA Pilot Programs
The Senate is in favor of the amendment
- 8 9.09 Revisit the Title 5 Definition of the Credit Hour
The Senate is in favor
- 9 10.01 Min. Quals for Instruction of Upper Division of Courses at CC
The resolution more narrowly defines those eligible to teach upper division courses by removing the equivalency as an option.
- 10 13.01 Addition of CID to College Catalogs and Student Transcripts
The Senate is in favor of the spirit but is concerned with the transcripts portion.
- 11 13.02 Update System Guidance

B Discussion of Faculty Identified SCC “Signature Programs”

The EMP goal is to identify “signature programs”

What is a signature program?

Epitomizes our mission and educational philosophy

Defines our distinctiveness in the marketplace

Institution specific

Benefits:

An internal distinction that helps determine resource allocation

Marketing

SCC Academic Senate Minutes – Approved November 17, 2015
Senate Business Meeting November 3, 2015

Concerns:

There is a sense of determining which programs are “better”

Reallocating resources can cause conflict

Is there a point at which a signature program loses its status?

Selection process?

Choosing candidate for signature status should involve a diverse group of stakeholders

There should be a specific set of criteria

Consideration should be given to cost, internal and external scans, and economic and enrollment projections

The analysis from an outside expert can add credibility to resource reallocation decisions.

Possible candidates:

Discipline-specific programs

Programs that span numerous departments and majors, such as honors or first-year, experience programs.

Components of a program

The Senate agreed that the time to do this investigation is now.

The Senate agreed that this work should be done in the Senate rather than via task force.

CIC Chair Wagner asked the Senators to specifically ask their constituents:

1 What do you think are Signature Programs at SCC?

2 Why do you think that is a Signature Program?

3 What criteria do you think should be used to determine a Signature Program?

The information collected should be sent to Joyce Wagner by 5 p.m. November 10, 2015.

IX Moved to adjourn (Womack/Hovanitz) passed without dissent.